

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.sspto.gov

APPLICATION N	o.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,803		02/27/2001	Hiroshi Kohda	50212-181	3700	
8933	7590	01/17/2003				
	MORRI	•	EXAMINER			
ONE LIB	ERTY PL			VALENCIA, DANIEL E		
1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	·		2874		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/763,803	KOHDA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Daniel E Valencia	2874					
Period fo	• •			D.				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 E</u>	December 2002 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims Claim(a) -2 4 40 24 27 44 and 2590 is/are pen	ding in the application						
	Claim(s) <u>2,4-19,31,37-44 and 2529</u> is/are pend							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
<u></u>	Claim(s) <u>2, 4-19, 28, 29, 31, 37-41, 43, and 44</u> is/are allowed.							
, —	Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) 26,27 and 42 is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
	ion Papers	-						
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminar					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	annici.						
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		140(a) (d) ar (f)					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 9	1 19(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: ———————————————————————————————————							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		;				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			ication).				
a	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional application has bee	n received.					
ريارت Attachmen		,						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/763,803

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's communication filed on December 3, 2002 has been carefully studied by the Examiner. The arguments advanced therein, considered together with the amendments made to the claims, are persuasive and most of the rejections based upon prior art made of record in the previous Office Action are withdrawn. In view of the specification as well as the comments in the response, the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections have been withdrawn. Many of applicant's claims are now allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hotta in view of Dahlgren U.S. Patent No. 4,991,922. Refer to the appropriate drawings or parts of the specification. Hotta as applied above, discloses a rotary optical switch with a majority of the claimed limitations of the present invention. Hotta however; fails to mention the method of forming the grooves in the fiber-arraying member of his device.

On the other hand, Dahlgren discloses an optical fiber coupler and method that teaches the method of forming grooves in a fiber-holding member. Regarding claim 25, Dahlgren discloses that grooves in his optical device are produced by repeating the step of linearly moving



Application/Control Number: 09/763,803

Art Unit: 2874

a cutting tool along a predetermined direction (see col. 4, lines 9-10). Dahlgren teaches that it is advantageous to use a rotary cutting tool for producing grooves because it allows the production of a groove that is slightly larger than the fiber itself (see col. 4, lines 9-15). Further, it would be inherent from the structure of the device disclosed by Hotta, that one of ordinary skill could repeatedly form the grooves in base material by rotating and using the cutting tool. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the rotary cutting method disclosed by Dahlgren to form the radial grooves in the device disclosed by Hotta.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26, 27, and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to dependent claims 26 and 27, the prior art alone or in combination fails to disclose or render obvious a method forming a fiber arraying member, wherein a rotary cutting tool is used repeatedly to form grooves in a base material having a prism or pyramid shape, respectively.

As to dependent claim 42, the prior art alone or in combination fails to disclose or render obvious a method of forming a fiber arraying member, wherein the circular base material is rotated and a cutting tool is used to repeatedly used to form *v-grooves* therein. Dahlgren teaches the use of a rotary cutting tool for forming arcuate grooves in a base material for holding optical fibers; however, the reference fails to teach the same for forming v-grooves.

Claims 2, 4-19, 28, 29, 31, 37-41, and 43-44 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: As to independent claims 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 15, 37, 40, 41, and 43, the prior art alone or in combination fail to discloses or render obvious an optical fiber arraying member or method wherein the moving side fiber is positioned in one of the corresponding plurality of grooves for the fiber array. For example, Hotta Japanese Patent No. 61185715 A discloses an optical switch comprising: an optical fiber-arraying member in which a plurality of optical fiber fixing grooves; a moving side optical fiber having an end thereof selectively optically connected to either of said plurality of array-side optical fibers; however, the reference does not teach that the moving side fiber is positioned *in respective one of said grooves*. In addition, Morillon French Patent No. 2 634 030 discloses an optical switch similar to the one claimed in claims 5, 7, 12, 15, 37, 40, and 41; however, the reference does not show that the moving side fiber is positionable in a corresponding array side fiber groove.

As to independent claims 28, 29, and 31, the prior art alone or in combination fails to disclose or render obvious a method of producing an optical-fiber-arraying member, comprising a process of alternately repeating plural times a step of forming an optical fiber fixing groove in a predetermined surface of a base material by linearly moving a cutting tool along a radial direction of a virtual circle and a step of rotating said base material and the moving direction of said cutting tool relative to each other by a predetermined angle about a center axis of said

Art Unit: 2874

virtual circle, thereby radially forming a plurality of optical fiber fixing grooves in said base material, wherein the shape of the base material is cylindrical or conical. For example, although the combination of Hotta and Dahlgren would suggest producing an optical fiber arraying member in a base material shaped as a circle, the references do not imply or suggest producing an arraying member of a cylindrical or conical shape.

ADE.

932

J. J. J.

A)L

.

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed December 3, 2002, regarding claim 25 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

DI

No. 11), rejection of claim 25 stated that the Dahlgren reference disclosed forming of V-grooves. However, the grooves being of "v-groove" shape is not a limitation in independent claim 25, therefore the rejection is still deemed proper. Claim 41, containing the limitation of "v-shape"

Examiner acknowledges that in Non-Final Rejection mailed September 3, 2002 (paper

groove has been objected as being allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2874

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel E Valencia whose telephone number is (703)-305-4399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-7724 for regular communications and (703)-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

dv

January 15, 2003